



MANDALAY RESOURCES

Management's Discussion and Analysis

For the quarter ended March 31, 2015

CONTENTS

1.0 DATE	9
1.1 SUBSEQUENT EVENTS.....	9
1.2 PORTFOLIO AND OPERATIONAL OVERVIEW.....	9
1.3 SELECTED QUARTERLY INFORMATION	11
1.4 RESULTS OF OPERATIONS	15
1.5 SUMMARY OF QUARTERLY RESULTS	25
1.6 LIQUIDITY, SOLVENCYAND USES OF CASH.....	26
1.7 CONTRACTUAL COMMITMENTS AND CONTINGENCIES	26
1.8 OFF-BALANCE SHEET ARRANGEMENTS	28
1.9 TRANSACTIONS WITH RELATED PARTIES.....	28
1.10 CRITICAL ACCOUNTING POLICIES	28
1.11FINANCIAL INSTRUMENTS.....	33
1.12 OTHER MD&A REQUIREMENTS	34
1.13 OUTSTANDING SHARES	37
1.14 QUALIFIED PERSONS.....	38
1.15 FORWARD LOOKING STATEMENTS.....	38
1.16 Non-IFRS MEASURES	38

MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS

This Management's Discussion and Analysis ("MD&A") should be read in conjunction with the consolidated financial statements and notes to the consolidated financial statements of Mandalay Resources Corporation ("Mandalay" or the "Company") for the quarter ended March 31, 2015, and the Company's annual information form dated March 31, 2015 (the "AIF"), as well as other information relating to the Company on file with the Canadian provincial securities regulatory authorities on SEDAR at www.sedar.com. The Company's reporting currency is the United States ("U.S.") dollar and all amounts in this MD&A are expressed in U.S. dollars unless otherwise stated. The Company reports its financial position, results of operations and cash flows in accordance with International Financial Reporting Standards ("IFRS").

The information provided in this document is not intended to be a comprehensive review of all matters concerning the Company. No securities commission or regulatory authority has reviewed the accuracy or adequacy of the information presented herein.

This MD&A contains forward-looking statements. Please refer to "Cautionary Statement Regarding Forward Looking Statements" at the end of this MD&A for a discussion of some of the risks and uncertainties associated with forward-looking statements.

This MD&A contains reference to non-IFRS measures. Please refer to Section 1.16 "Non-IFRS Measures" at the end of this MD&A for the list of these measures and their definitions.

FIRST QUARTER 2015 FINANCIAL AND OPERATING HIGHLIGHTS

1. Financial Highlights

- Quantities of metal sold during the quarter were 28,657 ounces ("oz") of gold ("Au"), 792,797 oz of silver ("Ag") and 781 tonnes ("t") of antimony ("Sb") compared to 11,850 oz Au, 772,116 oz Ag and 624 t Sb in the first quarter of 2014.
- Prices realized during the quarter were \$1,271 per oz for Au, \$17.66 per oz for Ag and \$8,147 per t for Sb in 2015 versus \$1,353 per oz for Au, \$20.29 per oz for Ag and \$10,239 per t for Sb in the same period in 2014 (6.1% lower price for Au, 13.0% for Ag and 20.4% Sb).
- During the quarter, Mandalay's sales totaled 44,711 oz of gold equivalent ("Au Eq.").
- Revenues in the quarter of \$56.78 million including adverse revenue adjustment of \$1.1 million related to open sales contracts from prior quarters, compared with revenues of \$38.1 million in the first quarter of 2014 including adverse revenue adjustments of \$1.2 million related to open sales contracts for the prior quarters.

- Adjusted EBITDA¹ was higher in the current quarter than the year ago quarter despite lower metal prices: \$24.3 million in the first quarter of 2015 compared with \$16.0 million in the first quarter of 2014.
- Net profit during the quarter was \$12.5 million (\$0.03 per share) compared with net profit of \$5.7 million (\$0.02 per share) in the first quarter of 2014.
- Income after tax from underlying operations² in the current quarter was \$14.5 million compared with income after tax from underlying operations of \$7.2 million in the first quarter of 2014.
- Dividends paid in the first quarter of 2015 increased to \$4.0 million (C\$0.0121 per share) compared to \$2.3 million (C\$0.0077 per share) in corresponding quarter of the prior year due to higher revenue of current period.
- Capital expenditures during the first quarter of 2015 were \$5.0 million at Cerro Bayo, \$5.0 million at Costerfield and \$3.1 million at Björkdal compared to \$3.8 million at Cerro Bayo and \$6.3 million at Costerfield in the first quarter of 2014.
- At March 31, 2015, the Company had \$51.9 million of cash and cash equivalents.

2. Operating Highlights

a. Consolidated Production and Sales

- In the first quarter of 2015, Mandalay produced 27,740 oz Au, 590,755 oz Ag and 969 t Sb, representing 42,277 Au Eq. oz., a 34.4% increase over production in the first quarter of 2014 which was 13,195 oz Au, 743,569 oz Ag and 858 t Sb, representing 31,449 Au Eq. oz.
- Company average cash cost³ of production in the first quarter were \$742/Au Eq. oz and All-In Sustaining Costs (“AISC”) cost were \$969/Au Eq. oz. Costerfield’s cash costs and AISC costs, respectively, were \$566 and \$774 per Au Eq. oz in the quarter; Björkdal achieved cash costs of \$797 per oz Au and AISC costs of \$940 per oz Au, and Cerro Bayo delivered cash costs per oz Ag net of Au credit of \$10.09 and \$17.61/oz AISC costs.

¹ Adjusted EBITDA and operating net income/(loss) after tax are non-IFRS performance measures. Refer to Section 1.16 “Non-IFRS measures” for further information.

² Income after tax from underlying operations is a non-IFRS performance measure. Refer to Section 1.16 “Non-IFRS Measures” for further information.

³ Cash cost and site all-in costs are non-IFRS performance measures. Refer to Section 1.16 “Non-IFRS Measures” for further information.

- Looking forward, the Company expects Costerfield to maintain its current low cost and volume performance for the balance of the year as the percentage of Cuffley ore in the concentrator mix continues to increase. Cerro Bayo costs were negatively impacted relative to the prior period due to low production volumes principally as a result of expected lower grade ore mined and processed during the period. Cerro Bayo grades are expected to gradually improve throughout the year as the Company completes mining in the Fabiola and Dagny veins and begins production on the Delia SE vein. The Company expects Björkdal to deliver gradual improvement in volumes and cost throughout the year.
- Improved operational exchange rates and lower petroleum prices were significant contributors to lower costs in the quarter. The Australian \$ averaged 1.2718/US\$ vs 1.1148/US\$ in the prior period. The Chilean Peso averaged 625 Peso/US\$ vs 552/US\$ in the prior period. The Swedish Krona Averaged 8.340/US\$ in the period vs 6.4641/US\$ in the prior period. Overall petroleum prices were about 50% lower than in the prior period.
- The increase in production at Costerfield was the result of more tonnes of ore mined and processed than in the previous year, and at higher grade as the proportion of ore from the Cuffley lode increased. Production at Cerro Bayo was lower as compared to the prior year corresponding quarter, mainly due to expected lower grades of ore mined and processed. The addition of the Björkdal mine to Mandalay's portfolio contributed production of 12,319 oz Au to the total.

Saleable Production

Metal	Source	Three months to 31 March 2015	Three months to 31 March 2014
Gold (oz)	Costerfield	10,416	7,915
	Cerro Bayo	5,005	5,280
	Bjorkdal	12,319	-
	Total	27,740	13,195
Antimony (t)	Costerfield	969	858
Silver (oz)	Cerro Bayo	590,755	743,569
Average quarterly prices:			
Gold US\$/oz		1,218	1,292
Antimony US\$/tonne		8,109	9,758
Silver US\$/oz		16.67	20.47
Au Eq. (oz) ⁽¹⁾	Costerfield	16,867	14,391
	Cerro Bayo	13,091	17,058
	Bjorkdal	12,319	-
	Total	42,277	31,449

¹ Au Eq. oz produced is calculated by multiplying the saleable quantities of Au, Ag and Sb in the period by the respective average market price of the commodities in the period, adding the three amounts to get "total contained value based on market price", and then dividing that total contained value by the average market price of Au in the period. Average Au price in the period is calculated as the average of the daily LME PM fixes in the period, with price on weekend days and holidays taken from the last business day; average Sb price in the period is calculated as the average of the high and low Rotterdam warehouse prices for all days in the period, with price on weekend days and holidays taken from the last business day; average Ag price in the period is calculated as the average of the daily London Broker's silver spot price for all days in the period, with price on weekend days and holidays taken from the last business day. The source for all prices is www.metalbulletin.com.

Sales

Metal	Source	Three months to 31 March 2015	Three months to 31 March 2014
Gold (oz)	Costerfield	9,823	7,046
	Cerro Bayo	7,084	4,804
	Bjorkdal	11,750	-
	Total	28,657	11,850
Antimony (t)	Costerfield	781	624
Silver (oz)	Cerro Bayo	792,797	772,116
Average quarterly prices:			
Gold US\$/oz		1,218	1,292
Antimony US\$/tonne		8,109	9,758
Silver US\$/oz		16.67	20.47
Au Eq. (oz) ¹	Costerfield	15,026	11,757
	Cerro Bayo	17,935	17,033
	Bjorkdal	11,750	-
	Total	44,711	28,790

¹Au Eq. oz sold is calculated by multiplying the quantities of Au, Ag, and Sb sold in the period by the respective average market prices of the commodities in the period, adding the three amounts to get a "total contained value based on market price", and then dividing that total contained value by the average market price of Au in the period. The source for all prices is www.metalbulletin.com, with price on weekend days and holidays taken from the last business day.

b. Costerfield Gold-Antimony Mine, Victoria, Australia

- i. *Production* — Saleable Au production for the first quarter of 2015 was 10,416 oz versus 11,126 oz in the previous quarter and 7,915 oz in the first quarter of 2014. Saleable Sb production for the first quarter of 2015 was 969 t versus 926 t in the previous quarter and 858 t in the first quarter of 2014. Greater production in the current quarter compared to the corresponding quarter of the previous year was mainly due to greater mine output.
- ii. *Operating Costs* — Cash cost per Au Eq. oz produced in the first quarter of 2015 was a record low \$566 versus \$608 in the previous quarter and \$800 in the first quarter of 2014. The lower cash cost in the first quarter of 2015 was due to high throughput and high grades of ore processed coupled with the completion of the move to 10 metre mining stopes from 5 metre stopes throughout the mine and consequent reduction in cost. The site all-in cost per Au eq. oz produced in the first quarter of 2015 was also a record low \$774, versus \$885 in the previous quarter and \$1,052 in the first quarter of 2014.

c. Cerro Bayo Silver-Gold Mine, Aysen, Chile

- i. *Production* — Cerro Bayo produced 590,755 oz Ag and 5,005 oz Au in the first quarter of 2015 versus 1,021,189 oz Ag and 9,052 oz Au in the previous quarter and 743,569 oz Ag and 5,280 oz Au in the first quarter of 2014. Production at Cerro Bayo was lower as compared to the prior year corresponding quarter was mainly due to expected lower grades of ore mined and processed as the lower grade bottom levels of Dagny and Fabiola mines were extracted.
- ii. *Operating Costs* — Cash cost per saleable oz Ag produced net of Au credits was \$ 10.09 in the first quarter of 2015 versus \$3.95 in the previous quarter and \$5.81 in the first quarter of 2014. Cash cost per oz was higher in the first quarter of 2015 compared to the corresponding quarter of 2014, principally due to lower production arising from lower plant head grades. The site all-in cost per oz Ag produced net of Au by-product credit was \$17.61 in the first quarter of 2015 versus \$10.37 in the previous quarter and \$11.31 in the first quarter of 2014.

d. Björkdal Gold Mine, Sweden

- i. *Production* — Björkdal produced 12,319 oz Au in the first quarter of 2015, compared to previous quarter production of 11,458 oz, the first complete quarter since acquisition
- ii. *Operating Costs* — Cash cost per saleable oz Au produced at Björkdal in the first quarter was \$797 and the site all-in cost per saleable oz Au produced was \$940 as compared to cash cost per salable oz Au and site all-in cost per salable Au produced \$901 and \$1,051 respectively. Thus, a trend of consecutively higher quarterly gold production at lower cost per ounce has been established for the first two quarters of ownership.

3. Exploration

a. Cerro Bayo

Mandalay completed 3,924 metres (“m”) of resource infill drilling at Cerro Bayo in the first quarter of 2015. Exploration activity in the first quarter was nearly exclusively focused on infill and extensional drilling of the Inferred Resource on Coyita and Yasna veins under Laguna Verde, on which Indicated and Inferred Resources were previously estimated (see press release on February 17, 2015).

b. Costerfield

Mandalay completed 2,293 m of drilling at Costerfield during the first quarter of 2015. Drilling was focused on extending and infill drilling Inferred resources previously estimated in the Cuffley lode (see press release on February 17, 2015).

c. Björkdal

Bjorkdal drilled approximately 5,035 m of diamond core in the first quarter, mostly in a closely-spaced infill program designed to define controls on gold mineralization to aid future resource and reserve estimation as well as optimize mine planning.

d. Challacollo

The feasibility study at Challacollo was advanced, with infrastructure, water, power, and metallurgical studies nearing completion. Baseline environmental and cultural data collection to support the permitting process continued.

e. La Quebrada, Chile

La Quebrada is a non-core asset and accordingly, no exploration activities occurred in the first quarter of 2015.

1.0 DATE

This MD&A is dated as of May 12, 2015.

1.1 SUBSEQUENT EVENTS

Quarterly Dividend

On May 12, 2015, the Board of Directors declared a dividend in the amount of \$0.0083 per share (C\$0.0101 per share), payable on June 1, 2015 to shareholders of record as of May 22, 2015.

1.2 PORTFOLIO AND OPERATIONAL OVERVIEW

The Company is a Toronto-based mining company, the business of which is to acquire, discover, develop and produce mineral commodities. Its currently produces Au, Ag and Sb in Australia, Chile, and Sweden. The Company uses its strong technical expertise and understanding of value creation to systematically increase the value of its assets through a disciplined approach of exploration, mining and processing optimization and operational efficiency. The Company's current producing assets are its Costerfield Au-Sb mine in Victoria, Australia, its Cerro Bayo Ag-Au mine in Patagonia, Chile, and its Björkdal Au mine in northern Sweden. The Company is completing a feasibility study on its Challacollo Ag-Au project near Iquique, Chile. The Company conducts exploration on near-mine and district targets at its operating and feasibility stage projects.

The Company is currently holding its La Quebrada Cu-Ag project near La Serena, Chile, and its Lupin and Ulu Au projects in Nunavut, Canada, for sale.

Costerfield

Costerfield is a 100%-owned Au-Sb mine located in the state of Victoria, Australia, that was purchased by the Company in late 2009. Acquired while on care and maintenance, the mine was restarted immediately. Production has increased from an initial 170 tonnes per day (“tpd”) in 2009 to 450 tpd in the first quarter of 2015. The production increases (and associated unit cost reductions) are due principally to: a change in mining method from cut-and-fill to blast-hole stoping with cemented rock fill; increasing sub-level spacing from 5 m to 10 m; replacing the underground mobile mining fleet; introduction of a mobile crusher to decrease the particle size of mill feed (permitting high recoveries while increasing throughput) and construction of a new gold room which has increased the proportion of gold recovered to gravity concentrate. In addition, rigorous improvements in maintenance and production processes in the mine and plant have led to increases in equipment availability and utilization as well as in labor productivities. Exploration (funded by mine operating cash flow) has added reserves at a faster pace than depletion during Mandalay ownership, building profitable mine life.

Cerro Bayo

Cerro Bayo is a 100%-owned Ag-Au mine located in the Aysen Province of southern Chile, purchased while on care and maintenance in August, 2010. Mining operations were restarted in the fall of 2010 and milling operations were restarted in the first quarter of 2011. Key to the financial performance of the restarted operation have been: shifting the mining method from shrinkage stoping to completely mechanized blast-hole open stoping; ramping up the operation to a total rate of 1400 tpd from three mines; and developing four highly competitive concentrate customers. Exploration has added reserves at a faster pace than depletion during Mandalay ownership, building profitable mine life from a nominal three years to more than five today.

Björkdal

Björkdal is a 100% owned gold mine located in northern Sweden. It was acquired through the Company’s acquisition of Elgin Mining on September 9, 2014. The operation currently produces from both an open pit mine and an underground mine. The concentrator currently process 3,500 tpd and has been permitted to expand to 4,300 tpd. Activities since the acquisition have been focused on: completing a new, independent estimation of Mineral Resources and Reserves; formulating and optimized life-of-mine plan balancing production from open pit and underground while reducing dilution in both; establishing a local assay lab for fast exploration and grade control sample turnaround; and fundamental metallurgical surveys and ore sorting studies to improve plant performance.

Challacollo

Challacollo is a 100% owned Ag-Au deposit located in Region I, Northern Chile. Mandalay completed an independent NI 43-101 Mineral Resource estimate for this development property in conjunction with the acquisition, which was completed on February 7, 2014. Since then, it has hired the core management team for the project and has embarked on a feasibility study for an underground mine and leach processing plant producing silver-gold ore, due later in the first half of 2015.

La Quebrada

La Quebrada is a 100% owned Cu-Ag project located near La Serena, Chile. Mandalay completed a maiden Independent NI 43-101 Mineral Resource estimate for the property in 2012, and since then has been performing mining, metallurgical, engineering and financial studies while developing options for the project. It is currently held for sale.

Lupin/Ulu

The Lupin and Ulu Au projects in Nunavut, Canada were acquired with the Elgin acquisition and are currently held for sale as non-core assets. Lupin is a past-producing underground mine-mill complex on care and maintenance and Ulu is a nearby advanced exploration stage project. A non-binding agreement for the sale of Lupin and Ulu to WPC Resources, subject to completion of due diligence and financing, was announced on January 19, 2015.

1.3 SELECTED QUARTERLY INFORMATION

The following table sets forth a summary of the Company's financial results for the three months ended March 31, 2015 and 2014:

	Three months ended March 31, 2015 (\$'000)	Three months ended March 31, 2014 (\$'000)
Revenue	56,779	38,092
Cost of sales	30,994	20,376
Income from mine operations before depreciation and depletion	25,785	17,716
Depreciation and depletion	9,624	7,284
Income from mine operations	16,161	10,432
Administration	1,402	1,416
Business development costs	116	274
Adjusted EBITDA*	24,267	16,026
Finance costs, foreign exchange and others/(income)**	(1,351)	804
Income/(loss) before tax	15,994	7,939
Current tax expense	1,226	642
Deferred tax expense (recovery)	2,284	1,552
Net Income/(loss) after tax	12,484	5,744
Total assets	358,128	214,629
Total liabilities	138,807	44,344
Income per share	0.03	0.02

*Adjusted EBITDA is a non-IFRS performance measure. Refer to Section 1.16 "Non-IFRS Measures" for further information.

**Others includes such items as mark to market derivative adjustments, write off of mineral properties, exploration and evaluation, share based compensation and gain/loss on disposal of properties, if any.

Dividend

Mandalay's current policy is to pay a quarterly dividend equal in an aggregate amount equal to 6% of the trailing quarter's revenue. The following table summarizes dividends paid by Mandalay in 2015 and 2014:

Declaration date	Payable to shareholders of record at	Dividends declared	Total payment
		C\$	(\$'000)
2015	February 17, 2015	March 09, 2014	0.0121
			3,976
			3,976
2014	February 18, 2014	March 10, 2014	0.0077
	May 05, 2014	May 26, 2014	0.0074
	August 06, 2014	August 28, 2014	0.0086
	November 06, 2014	November 27, 2014	0.0058
			2,344
			2,335
			2,693
			2,094
			9,466

Adjusted EBITDA Reconciliation to Net Income

The table below reconciles adjusted EBITDA to reported net income for the three months ended March 31, 2015 and 2014. Adjusted EBITDA is a non-IFRS performance measure. Refer to Section 1.16 "Non-IFRS Measures" on page 37 - 39 for further information.

	Three months ended March 31, 2015		Three months ended March 31, 2014	
	(\$'000)	(\$'000)	(\$'000)	(\$'000)
Net Income/(loss)		12,484		5,744
Add: Non-cash and finance costs				
Depletion and depreciation	9,624		7,284	
Loss (gain) on disposal of property, plant and equipment	12		6	
Write off mineral properties/exploration and evaluation	-		294	
Share based compensation	283		357	
Interest and finance charges	1,279		235	
Fair value adjustments	(283)		(105)	
Current tax	1,226		642	
Deferred tax	2,284		1,552	
Foreign exchange (gain)/loss	(2,471)	11,954	52	10,317
		24,438		16,061
Add/(Less): Interest and (other income)/expenses	(171)	(171)	(35)	(35)
Adjusted EBITDA		24,267		16,026

Fair-value adjustments

As at March 31, 2015, the following items on the statement of financial position were subject to fair-value adjustments in accordance with IAS 39:

Conversion feature under debt financing – In May, 2014, the Company borrowed \$60 million in a five-year debt financing at an interest rate of 5.875% from Gold Exchangeable Limited (“GEL”), an unaffiliated special purpose vehicle incorporated in Jersey. GEL raised the financing proceeds by way of a concurrent offering of five-year senior exchangeable bonds (the “Bonds”) issued by Gold Exchangeable Limited (the “Issuer”), an unaffiliated special purpose vehicle incorporated in Jersey. The Company, through its wholly owned subsidiary Mandalay Resources Finance Limited (the “Borrower”), borrowed the proceeds of the Bond offering from the Issuer under the terms of a loan agreement and related funding agreement (the “Loan”) which together mirror the principal terms of the Bonds. Beginning on June 23, 2014, each Bondholder has the right to exchange the principal amount of its Bonds for shares in the SPDR Gold Trust (“Gold Shares”) based on the then-applicable exchange price. The initial exchange price is \$149.99 per Gold Share, which, at the initial issuance date of the Bonds, was equivalent to a gold price of \$1,556 per ounce. The exchange price is subject to adjustment in the event of changes to the constitution of the SPDR Gold Trust (e.g., share splits and consolidation) or changes to the way in which NAV of the SPDR Gold Trust or Gold Shares is calculated.

If a Bondholder exercises its exchange rights, GEL will give notice to the Borrower, and the Borrower will be required to deliver the requisite number of Gold Shares to the Bondholder.

GEL may redeem the Bonds at its option:

- any time after June 13, 2017, if the closing price of the Gold Shares exceeds 130% of the exchange price for at least 20 trading days in any 30 consecutive trading day period; or
- any time, if \$9 million or less principal amount of the Bonds remains outstanding.

The Borrower has equivalent redemption rights with respect to the Loan. If the Borrower exercise its redemption rights under the Loan, the Issuer will exercise its optional redemption rights under the Bonds.

The Company has computed and initially allocated \$4.6m to the value of derivative financial instruments associated with the Loan. As at March 31, 2015, the Company has recomputed the derivative portion of the Loan at \$2.2m. As a result there is a mark-to-market adjustment gain of \$0.6m.

Marketable securities - With the acquisition of Elgin, the Company acquired marketable securities with the fair market value of \$0.2m as at March 31, 2015, recorded in trade and other receivables on the statement of financial position. These securities are stated at fair value with any resulting gain or loss recognized in income or loss. The Company recorded a fair value measurement loss of \$0.01m for the year ended March 31, 2015.

Oil derivative - On December 15, 2014, the Company entered into a crude oil call option for a notional amount of 120,000 barrels of crude oil at an exercise price of \$60.50 per barrel. As at March 31, 2015, the derivative has a carrying value of \$0.2m recorded as derivative financial instruments in the consolidated financial statements.

The above items are non-operating in nature and the following tables summarize the impact of the accounting for these changes.

Fair value and deferred tax adjustments impact on items in the statement of financial position

	Before fair value and deferred tax adjustments ^(a)	Note	Fair value and deferred tax adjustments	As of March 31, 2015 ^(a)	As of December 31, 2014 ^(a)
			Q1 2015		
	(\$'000)		(\$'000)	(\$'000)	(\$'000)
Assets					
Deferred tax	7,012	(b)	(2,284)	4,728	7,057
Marketable Securities	203	(c)	(13)	190	221
Derivative financial instrument (oil derivative)	516	(d)	(317)	199	552
Liabilities					
Derivative financial instrument (Five year exchangeable bonds)	1,628	(e)	613	2,241	2,854
Equity					
Retained earnings/(deficit)	80,714		(2,001)	78,713	70,205

(a) Values are net of foreign exchange translation.

(b) The Company recorded a deferred tax expense of \$2,284,138 for the three months ended March 31, 2015.

(c) The Company recorded fair value measurement loss of \$13,209 relating to marketable securities for the three months ended March 31, 2015.

(d) The Company recorded fair value measurement loss of \$ 317,117 relating to Crude oil call option for the three months ended March 31, 2015.

(e) The Company recorded fair value measurement gain of \$0.6 million relating to the derivative portion of the five year exchangeable loan for the three months ended March 31, 2015

Fair value and deferred tax adjustments impact on items in the income statement for three months ended March 31, 2015 and 2014

	As of March 31, 2015			As of March 31, 2014
	Underlying operations	Note	Fair value and deferred tax adjustments	Total
	(\$'000)		(\$'000)	(\$'000)
Income (loss) from operations	14,348			8,085
Other items				
Interest and other income	171			35
Finance (costs)/income	(1,279)	(a)	(13)	(130)
		(b)	613	
		(c)	(317)	
Foreign exchange gain (loss)	2,471			(52)
Net income/(loss) before tax	15,711		283	7,938
Current tax	(1,226)			(642)
Deferred tax		(d)	(2,284)	(1,552)
Net income/(loss)	14,485		(2,001)	5,744
Income (loss) per share				
Basic	\$0.04			\$0.02
Diluted	\$0.04			\$0.02

- (a) The Company recorded fair value measurement loss of \$13,209 relating to marketable securities for the three months ended March 31, 2015.
- (b) The Company recorded fair value measurement gain of \$0.6 million relating to derivative portion of five year exchangeable bonds for the three months ended March 31, 2015
- (c) The Company recorded fair value measurement loss of \$ 317,117 relating to Crude oil call option for the three months ended March 31, 2015.
- (d) The Company recorded a deferred tax expense of \$2,284,138 for the three months ended March 31, 2015.

1.4 RESULTS OF OPERATIONS

Three Months Ended March 31, 2015 compared to Three Months Ended March 31, 2014

During the three months ended March 31, 2015 the Company recorded net income of \$12.5m (net of fair value measurement gain of \$0.3m and deferred tax expense of \$2.3m). This is compared to net income of \$5.7m (net of fair value measurement gain of \$0.1m and deferred tax expense of \$1.5m) during the three months ended March 31, 2014. Mandalay delivered adjusted EBITDA of \$24.3m for the quarter ended March 31, 2015, compared to \$16m in the quarter ended March 31, 2014. The increase in adjusted EBITDA and net income was principally due to the larger volumes sold and better cost performance.

Administrative expenses for the quarter ended March 31, 2015, were \$1.4m compared to \$1.4 during the quarter ended March 31, 2014. During the three months ended March 31, 2015, administration expenses of \$0.1m at Corporate included \$0.1m in management fees net of transfer pricing, \$0.1m in audit and internal review fees, \$0.05 in travel expense, \$0.03m legal and accounting fees, \$0.15m in investor relations and transfer agent and filing fees \$0.02m in Lupin and Ulu care and maintenance and a \$0.5m credit due to charge back of executive bonus to business units, \$0.01m related to GST write-off and \$0.01m in general administrative expenses and computer maintenance.

Capital expenditures in the first quarter of 2015, including capitalized depreciation and exploration, was \$ 13.1m. Of this amount \$4m was spent at Cerro Bayo, \$5m at Costerfield, \$3m at Björkdal, \$0.068m at La Quebrada, \$0.004m at MM Ltda and \$1,.0m at Challacollo. By comparison, capital expenditures in the first quarter of 2014 were \$11m. The increase in capital expenditures in the first quarter of 2015 relative to 2014 were due to the addition of Björkdal to the portfolio during the year and to expenditure incurred for the Challacollo feasibility project.

Costerfield Results, Production, Sales and Costs for the Three Months Ended March 31, 2015

Costerfield generated revenue of \$17.6m for the quarter ended March 31, 2015. Income from mine operations before depreciation and depletion was \$9.7m adjusted EBITDA was \$9.6m, net income after tax was \$4m and operating net income was \$5m during the period. For the quarter ended March 31, 2014, revenue was \$16m, income from mine operations before depreciation and depletion was \$7m, adjusted EBITDA was \$7m, net income after tax was \$1.3m and operating net income was \$2.2m. Greater revenue during the first quarter of 2015 was principally driven by greater volumes sold that more than offset lower metal prices.

Costerfield financial results

	Three months ended March 31, 2015 (\$'000)	Three months ended March 31, 2014 (\$'000)
Revenue	17,588	15,967
Cost of sales	7,825	9,138
Income from mine operations before depreciation and depletion	9,763	6,829
Depreciation and depletion	3,544	3,337
Income from mine operations	6,219	3,492
Administration ⁽¹⁾	357	334
Business development costs	-	10
Adjusted EBITDA ⁽²⁾⁽⁴⁾	9,560	6,590
Finance costs, foreign exchange and others ⁽³⁾	666	681
Income/(loss) before tax	5,196	2,467
Deferred tax expense (recovery)	1,334	1,077
Operating net income/(loss) after tax ⁽⁴⁾	4,889	2,198
Net income/(loss) after tax	3,862	1,390
Capital expenditure ⁽⁵⁾	5,041	6,322

¹Includes intercompany transfer pricing re-charge costs of \$154,000 in three months ended in March 31, 2015 and \$105,000 in same period of 2014.

²Does not include intercompany transfer pricing recharge costs.

³Others includes such items as mark to market derivative adjustments, write off of mineral properties, exploration and evaluation, share based compensation, gain/loss on disposals of properties and intercompany transfer pricing recharge costs of \$560,000 in three months ended March 31, 2015 and \$390,000 in same period of 2014.

⁴Adjusted EBITDA and operating net income/(loss) after tax are non-IFRS performance measures. Refer to Section 1.16 "Non-IFRS measures" for further information.

⁵Includes capitalized depreciation on equipment.

Costerfield operating net income/(loss) after tax reconciliation to net income/(loss) after tax

	Three months ended March 31, 2015 (\$'000)	Three months ended March 31, 2014 (\$'000)
Net income/(loss) after tax	3,862	1,390
<u>Add: Intercompany expenses</u>		
Intercompany interest expense	313	314
Intercompany transfer pricing recharge costs	714	495
Operating net income/(loss) after tax	4,889	2,198

Costerfield operating statistics

The following table summarizes certain aspects of production, sales, costs and capital investment activities at Costerfield.

	Unit	Three months ended March 31, 2015	Three months ended March 31, 2014
Mining Production and Mining Cost			
Operating development	m	1,060	1,121
Mined ore	t	40,393	38,499
Ore mined Au grade	g/t	10.69	8.15
Ore mined Sb grade	%	3.88	3.60
Mined contained Au	oz	13,884	10,090
Mined contained Sb	t	1,567	1,387
Mining cost per tonne ore	\$/t	144	180
Processing and Processing Cost			
Processed ore	t	38,601	37,202
Mill head grade Au	g/t	10.61	8.31
Mill head grade Sb	%	4.01	3.65
Recovery Au	%	89.91	90.75
Recovery Sb	%	95.00	94.18
Concentrate produced	dry t	2,704	2,397
Concentrate grade Au	g/t	76.51	59.42
Concentrate grade Sb	%	54.40	53.29
Au produced in gravity concentrate	oz	5,136	4,400
Au produced in sulfide concentrate	oz	5,280	3,515
Saleable Au produced	oz	10,416	7,915
Saleable Sb produced	t	969	858
Saleable Au equivalent produced	oz	16,867	14,391
Processing cost per tonne ore	\$/t	36.72	47.65
Sales			
Concentrate sold	dry t	2,264	1,844
Concentrate Au grade	g/t	79.09	59.08
Concentrate Sb grade	%	54.36	53.70
Au sold in gravity concentrate	oz	5,231	4,549
Au sold in sulfide concentrate	oz	4,592	2,497
Au sold	oz	9,823	7,046
Sb sold	t	781	624
Benchmark Unit Cost			
Site cash operating cost/ tonne ore processed ⁽¹⁾	\$/t	243.36	303.53
Site cash operating cost/tonne concentrate produced ⁽¹⁾	\$/t	3,474	4,711
Adjusted EBITDA/tonne ore milled ⁽¹⁾	\$/t	248	177
Adjusted EBITDA/tonne concentrate produced ⁽¹⁾	\$/t	3,536	2,753
Cash cost per oz Au equivalent produced ⁽¹⁾⁽²⁾	\$/oz	566.12	800.02
Site all-in cost/oz Au eq. oz produced ⁽¹⁾⁽³⁾	\$/oz	773.60	1,051.76
Capital Spending			
Capital development	m	742	490
Capital development cost	\$000	3,173	2,548
Capital development cost/meter	\$/m	4,277	5,205
Capital purchases	\$000	1,566	2,708
Capitalized exploration	\$000	301	1,066

¹Does not include intercompany transfer pricing recharge costs and business development costs.

²Cash cost per ounce of gold equivalent produced is a non-IFRS performance measure. Refer to Section 1.16 "Non-IFRS Measures" for further information.

³Site all-in cost per ounce of gold equivalent produced is a non-IFRS performance measure. Refer to Section 1.16 "Non-IFRS Measures" for further information.

Three months ended March 31, 2015 and 2014

The Costerfield mine completed 1,060 metres ("m") of operating development in the first quarter of 2015 versus 1121 m in 2014. More ore was mined in the 2015 quarter (40,393 t) than in the 2014 quarter (38,499 t) and at higher grades. The mined Au grade in 2015 was 10.69 grams per tonne ("g/t") versus 8.15 g/t in 2014, while the mined Sb grade was 3.88 in 2015 versus 3.60 g/t. Mining costs were significantly lower in the 2015 quarter (\$144/t) than in the previous year (\$180/t), due principally to savings as mining was consolidated into N-lode and Cuffley at 10 m level spacing.

Capital development advance was 742 m in 2015 compared to 490 m 2014; the cost in 2015 was \$4,277/m versus \$5,205/m in 2014. The low cost in 2015 was due to the higher metres as compared to 2014 and reliance on a mining contractor to perform the work.

In the first quarter of 2015, the Costerfield concentrator processed 38,601 t of ore versus 37,202 t in the first quarter of 2014. Head grades were higher in the 2015 quarter. The Au head grade in 2015 was 10.61 g/t versus 8.31 g/t Au a year ago, while the Sb head grade was 4.01% in 2015 versus 3.65% in 2014. The plant achieved recoveries of 89.91% Au and 95.00% Sb versus 90.75% Au and 94.18% Sb in first quarter of 2014. The greater plant throughput resulted from higher output from the mine. Processing costs in first quarter of 2015 were \$36.72/t, lower than the \$47.65/t incurred during the prior year period.

Concentrate production was 2,704 dry metric tonnes ("dmt") during the first quarter of 2015 versus 2,397 dry metric tonnes ("dmt") during the first quarter of 2014. Total saleable metal production in the first quarter of 2015 was 969 t Sb and 10,416 oz Au versus 858 t Sb and 7,915 oz Au in 2014.

During the first quarter of 2015, Costerfield sold 2,264 dmt of concentrate compared to 1,844 dmt in the prior year period. A total of 9,823 oz Au and 781 t Sb were sold in 2015 versus total of 7,046 oz Au and 624 t Sb.

Total site cash operating cost of ore processed was \$243/t in the first quarter of 2015, compared to \$303.53/t in the first quarter of 2014, mainly due to more tonnes processed with lower headcount. This translates into a lower cash cost per Au Eq. oz produced (\$566/oz in first quarter of 2015 compared to \$800/oz in prior year period) and lower AISC per Au Eq. oz produced (\$774/oz in first quarter of 2015 compared to \$1,052/oz in prior year period).

During the first quarter of 2015, the Company invested \$3m in capital development, \$0.3m in exploration and \$1.6m in property, plant and equipment at Costerfield. The corresponding amounts for the prior year quarter were \$2.5m, \$1m and \$2.7m respectively.

Cerro Bayo Results, Production, Sales and Costs for the Three Months Ended March 31, 2015

Cerro Bayo generated revenue of \$22.9m for the quarter ended March 31, 2015. Income from mine operations before depreciation and depletion was \$8.8m. Adjusted EBITDA was \$8.1m, net income after tax was \$1.7m and operating net income was \$2.6m. For the quarter ended March 31, 2014, revenue was \$22m, income from mine operations before depreciation and depletion was \$11m. Adjusted EBITDA was \$10m, net income after tax was \$4m, and operating net income was \$5m.

Cerro Bayo financial results

	Three months ended March 31, 2015 (\$'000)	Three months ended March 31, 2014 (\$'000)
Revenue	22,900	22,125
Cost of sales	14,045	11,237
Income from mine operations before depreciation and depletion	8,855	10,888
Depreciation and depletion	4,281	3,947
Income from mine operations	4,574	6,940
Administration ⁽¹⁾	925	817
Adjusted EBITDA ⁽²⁾⁽⁴⁾	8,153	10,279
Finance costs, foreign exchange and others ⁽³⁾	947	643
Income/(loss) before tax	2,702	5,480
Current tax expense	381	642
Deferred tax expense (recovery)	669	475
Operating net income/(loss) after tax ⁽⁴⁾	2,558	5,068
Net income/(loss) after tax	1,653	4,363
Capital expenditure ⁽⁵⁾	3,913	3,791

¹Includes intercompany transfer pricing recharge costs of \$223,000 in 2015 and \$208,000 in 2014.

²Does not include intercompany transfer pricing recharge costs.

³Others includes such items as mark to market derivative adjustments, write off of mineral properties, exploration and evaluation, share based compensation, gain/(loss) on disposal of properties and intercompany transfer pricing recharge costs of \$905,000 in 2015 and \$705,000 in 2014. See "Non-IFRS Measures" for further information.

⁵Includes capitalized depreciation on equipment.

Cerro Bayo operating net income/(loss) after tax reconciliation to net income/(loss) after tax

	Three months ended March 31, 2015 (\$'000)	Three months ended March 31, 2014 (\$'000)
Net income/(loss) after tax	1,653	4,363
<u>Add: Intercompany expenses</u>		
Intercompany transfer pricing recharge costs	905	705
Operating net income/(loss) after tax	2,558	5,068

Cerro Bayo operating statistics

The following table summarizes certain aspects of production, sales, costs and capital investment activities at Cerro Bayo.

	Unit	Three months ended March 31, 2015	Three months ended March 31, 2014
Mining Production and Mining Cost			
Operating development	m	1,608	1,464
Mined ore	t	105,167	105,216
Ore mined Au grade	g/t	1.67	1.86
Ore mined Ag grade	g/t	199.63	251.70
Mined contained Au	oz	5,634	6,284
Mined contained Ag	oz	674,978	851,427
Mining cost per tonne ore	\$/t	54.00	51.08
Processing and Processing Cost			
Processed ore	t	111,831	104,668
Mill head grade Au	g/t	1.65	1.84
Mill head grade Ag	g/t	188.24	251.56
Recovery Au	%	86.52	87.25
Recovery Ag	%	90.39	91.03
Concentrate produced	dry t	1,721	2,084
Concentrate grade Au	g/t	92.68	80.73
Concentrate grade Ag	g/t	11,058.38	11,500.63
Saleable Au produced	oz	5,005	5,280
Saleable Ag produced	oz	590,755	743,569
Saleable Au equivalent produced	oz	13,091	17,058
Processing cost per tonne ore	\$/t	23.85	25.76
Sales			
Concentrate sold	dry t	2,275	2,209
Concentrate Au grade	g/t	99.14	69.30
Concentrate Ag grade	g/t	11,218	11,267
Au sold	oz	7,084	4,804
Ag sold	oz	792,797	772,116
Benchmark Unit Cost			
Site cash operating cost/ tonne ore processed ⁽¹⁾	\$/t	86.56	91.81
Site cash operating cost/tonne concentrate produced ⁽¹⁾	\$/t	5,625	4,611
Adjusted EBITDA/tonne ore milled ⁽¹⁾	\$/t	73	98
Adjusted EBITDA/tonne concentrate produced ⁽¹⁾	\$/t	4,762	4,932
Cash cost per oz Ag produced net of Au byproduct credit ⁽¹⁾⁽²⁾	\$/oz	10.09	5.81
Site all-in cost net of gold credit /oz Ag produced ⁽¹⁾⁽³⁾	\$/oz	17.61	11.31
Capital Spending			
Capital development	m	450	500
Capital development cost	\$000	1,479	1,671
Capital development cost/meter	\$/m	3,290	3,344
Capital purchases	\$000	1,916	1,396
Capitalized exploration	\$000	518	724

¹Does not include intercompany transfer pricing recharge costs and business development costs.

²The cash cost per ounce of silver produced net of gold byproduct credit is a non-IFRS performance measures. Refer to Section 1.16“Non-IFRS Measures” for further information.

³Site all-in cost per ounce of silver produced net of gold byproduct credit is a non-IFRS performance measure. Refer to Section 1.16“Non-IFRS Measures” for further information.

Three months ended March 31, 2015 and 2014

During the first quarter, the Cerro Bayo mine produced 105,167 t of ore versus 105,216 t. Mined grades were 199.63 Ag and 1.67 Au in 2015 versus 251.70 g/t Ag and 1.86 g/t Au in 2014. During 2015, 1,608 m of operating development were completed versus 1464 m in 2014 comparable period. Mining cost in the first quarter of 2015 was \$54/t compared to \$51.08/t in the first quarter of 2014.

During the first quarter of 2015, the Cerro Bayo concentrator processed 111,831 t of ore with grades of 11,058 g/t Ag and 92.68 g/t Au, compared to 104,668 t of ore with grades of 11,500 g/t Ag and 80.73 g/t Au during the first quarter of 2014. Metallurgical recoveries during the first quarter were 86.52% for Au and 90.39% for Ag in 2015 versus 87.25% for Au and 91.03% for Ag in the prior year period. Processing cost per tonne ore was \$23.85/t in 2015 versus \$25.76/t in 2014.

Cerro Bayo produced 1,721 dmt of concentrate containing 590,755 oz saleable Ag and 5,005 oz saleable Au in the first quarter of 2015, as compared to 2,084 dmt of concentrate containing 743,569 oz saleable Ag and 5,280 oz saleable Au in the comparable 2014 period.

During the first quarter of 2015, Cerro Bayo sold 2,275 dmt of concentrate containing 7,084 oz of saleable Au and 792,797 oz saleable Ag. Sales during the comparable quarter of 2014 were 2,209 dmt of concentrate, 4,804 oz of Au and 772,116 oz of Ag.

Total site cash operating cost was 86.56/t of ore processed in the first quarter of 2015, compared to \$91.81/t in the first quarter of 2014. However, because ore grades were lower in the 2015 quarter, there was an increase in cash cost to \$10.09 per oz Ag net of Au credits form \$5.81 oz in the first quarter of 2014. Site all-in cost rose to \$17.61 per oz Ag net of Au credit in the first quarter of 2015 from \$11.31/oz per reported in the first quarter of 2014.

During the first quarter of 2015, the Company invested \$1.5m in mine development versus \$1.6 in 2014. The Company spent \$1.9m for the purchase of property, plant and equipment in 2015 versus \$1.4m in 2014. The Company spent \$0.5m on exploration versus \$0.7m the first quarter of 2014.

Björkdal Results, Production, Sales and Costs for the three months ended on March 31 2015

During first quarter, Björkdal generated revenue of \$16.2m. Income from mine operations before depreciation and depletion was \$7.1m. Adjusted EBITDA was \$7.1m, net income after tax and operating net income were \$4.3m.

Björkdal financial results

	Three months ended March 31, 2015 (\$'000)
Revenue	16,291
Cost of sales	9,125
Income from mine operations before depreciation and depletion	7,166
Depreciation and depletion	1,770
Income from mine operations	5,396
Administration	-
Adjusted EBITDA ⁽¹⁾	7,166
Finance costs, foreign exchange and others	(32)
Income/(loss) before tax	5,429
Current tax expense	845
Deferred tax expense (recovery)	282
Operating net income/(loss) after tax ⁽¹⁾	4,302
Net income/(loss) after tax	4,302
Capital expenditure ⁽²⁾	3,073

¹ Adjusted EBITDA and operating net income/(loss) after tax are non-IFRS performance measures. Refer to Section 1.16 "Non-IFRS Measures" for further information.

² includes capitalized depreciation on equipment.

Björkdal operating net income/(loss) after tax reconciliation to net income/(loss) after tax

	Three months ended March 31, 2015 (\$'000)
Net income/(loss) after tax	4,302
<u>Add: Intercompany expenses</u>	
Intercompany interest expense	-
Operating net income/(loss) after tax	4,302

Björkdal operating statistics

The following table summarizes certain aspects of production, sales, costs and capital investment activities at Björkdal.

	Unit	Three months ended March 31, 2015
Mining Production and Mining Cost		
Operating development	m	1,616
Mined ore	t	303,694
Ore mined Au grade	g/t	1.39
Mined contained Au	oz	13,551
Mining cost per tonne ore	\$/t	20
Processing and Processing Cost		
Processed ore	t	318,347
Mill head grade Au	g/t	1.37
Recovery Au	%	90
Concentrate produced	dry t	944
Concentrate grade Au	g/t	406
Saleable Au produced	oz	12,319
Processing cost per tonne ore	\$/t	6.79
Sales		
Concentrate sold	dry t	911
Concentrate Au grade	g/t	401
Au sold	oz	11,750
Benchmark Unit Cost		
Site cash operating cost/ tonne ore processed ⁽¹⁾	\$/t	30
Site cash operating cost/tonne concentrate produced ⁽¹⁾	\$/t	10,127
Adjusted EBITDA/tonne ore milled ⁽¹⁾	\$/t	23
Adjusted EBITDA/tonne concentrate produced ⁽¹⁾	\$/t	7,589
Cash cost per oz Au equivalent produced ⁽¹⁾⁽²⁾	\$/oz	797
Site all-in cost/oz Au eq. oz produced ⁽¹⁾⁽³⁾	\$/oz	940
Capital Spending		
Capital development (Underground)	m	160
Capital development (Open pit)	t	824,346
Capital development cost	\$000	1,783
Capital purchases	\$000	772
Capitalized exploration	\$000	519

¹Does not include intercompany transfer pricing recharge costs and business development costs.

²The cash cost per ounce of silver produced net of gold byproduct credit is a non-IFRS performance measures .Refer to Section 1.16“Non-IFRS Measures” for further information.

³Site all-in cost per ounce of silver produced net of gold byproduct credit is a non-IFRS performance measure.Refer to Section 1.16“Non-IFRS Measures” for further information.

Three months ended March 31, 2015

During the first quarter, Björkdal produced a combined 303,694 t of ore from the open pit and underground operations with an average grade of 1.39 g/t Au. During first quarter of 2015, 1,616 m of operating development were completed. Weighted average mining cost from the open pit and underground was \$20/t.

During the period, the Björkdal concentrator processed 318,347 t of ore with grades of 1.36 g/t Au. Metallurgical recoveries during the first quarter were 90% for Au. Processing cost was \$ 6.79 ore.

Björkdal produced 12,319 oz saleable Au during the period and sold 11,750 oz of Au.

Total site cash operating cost was \$30 per tonne of ore processed.

During the period, the Company invested \$1.8m in mine development, \$0.8m in property, plant and equipment and \$0.5m in exploration.

Challacollo

During the first quarter of 2015 the Company spent \$1.0m on exploration and feasibility study. Metallurgical testing is completed and power, infrastructure, and water supply studies are on track. Base line environmental, archaeological, and social data collection to support the permitting process was continued. Completion of the feasibility study is anticipated for the second quarter of 2015.

La Quebrada

Spending on care and maintenance at La Quebrada was \$0.07m during the first quarter of 2015. The corresponding amount for the prior year quarter was \$0.3m.

Markets - Currency Exchange Rates

The average currency exchange rates for the reporting period are summarized in the table below.

CURRENCY	Average rate	Average rate
	January 1, 2015 March 31, 2015	January 1, 2014 March 31, 2014
1A\$ = C\$	0.9755	0.9896
1A\$ = US\$	0.7863	0.8970
1 US\$ = C\$	1.2405	1.1033
1 US\$ = Chilean Peso	625	552
1 SEK = 1 US\$	0.1199	0.1547

The U.S. dollar has strengthened in relation to the Australian dollar, the Canadian dollar, the Chilean peso, and the Swedish Krona.

Markets - Commodity Prices

The average market and realized commodity prices for the reporting period are summarized in the table below. Market prices of Au, Ag, and Sb were lower in the first quarter of 2015 compared to the first quarter of 2014. Realized prices were impacted by the application of adjustments with respect to open concentrate shipments at forward prices (see “Critical Accounting Policies - Revenue recognition” below). This resulted in realized prices in the first quarter of 2015 being marginally higher than relative average market prices.

COMMODITY	Average rate	Average rate
	January 1, 2015 March 31, 2015	January 1, 2014 March 31, 2014
Realized gold US\$/oz ¹	1,271	1,353
Gold- US\$/oz - Average London Daily PM close (Metal Bulletin)	1,218	1,292
Realized antimony US\$/tonne ¹	8,147	10,239
Antimony US\$/tonne - Rotterdam Warehouse (Metal Bulletin)	8,109	9,758
Realized silver price US\$/oz ¹	17.66	20.29
Silver US\$/oz - Average London Daily PM close (Metal Bulletin)	16.67	20.47

¹Includes the effect of prior period smelter revenue adjustment on sales revenue and realized prices for the period.

1.5 SUMMARY OF QUARTERLY RESULTS

The following information is derived from the Company’s quarterly financial statements for the past eight quarters.

Particulars	March 31, 2015 (\$'000)	December 31, 2014 (\$'000)	September 30, 2014 (\$'000)	June 30, 2014 (\$'000)
Revenue	56,779	66,973	34,676	44,888
Income/(loss)	12,484	7,588	(692)	4,936
Income/(loss) per share - Basic	0.03	0.02	(0.00)	0.01
Income/(loss) per share - Diluted	0.03	0.02	(0.00)	0.01

Particulars	March 31, 2014 (\$'000)	December 31, 2013 (\$'000)	September 30, 2013 (\$'000)	June 30, 2013 (\$'000)
Revenue	38,092	39,058	50,320	35,903
Income/(loss)	5,744	4,435	10,999	3,105
Income/(loss) per share - Basic	0.02	0.01	0.03	0.01
Income/(loss) per share - Diluted	0.02	0.01	0.03	0.01

Since the acquisition of the Costerfield mine in December, 2009, and of the Cerro Bayo mine in August, 2010, and now the Björkdal mine in September, 2014, the Company’s results have been, and are expected to continue to be, influenced by the operational results of the Costerfield, Cerro Bayo and Björkdal mines. Financial results are impacted by the levels of Au, Ag, and Sb production, the costs associated with that production and the prices received for metal in concentrate. Metal prices are determined using prevailing

international prices for Au, Ag, and Sb. The Company's products are priced in U.S. dollars, whereas the majority of mine costs are in Australian dollars (at Costerfield), Chilean pesos (at Cerro Bayo) and Swedish Krona (at Björkdal). The Company's results will be impacted by exchange rate variations during the reporting periods.

The general trend of increasing metal sales volumes with time represents the ramp-up of Costerfield production under Mandalay ownership and the acquisition, restart, and ramp-up of the Cerro Bayo mine and the acquisition of the Björkdal mine. Volatility in revenue and earnings over the past two years is due to the combined impact of changes in volumes, fluctuations in metal prices and timing of concentrate shipments.

1.6 LIQUIDITY, SOLVENCY AND USES OF CASH

At March 31, 2015, the Company had working capital of \$29m compared to \$45m at March 31, 2014. The change in working capital is mainly due to the classification of the five year 5.875% loan as a current liability. Had gold loan been classified as long term debt, working capital would have been \$83m. The Company had cash and cash equivalents of \$52m at, March 31 2015 as compared to \$20m at March 31, 2014.

In the future, the Company expects to continue to fund operational requirements through a combination of internally generated cash flow, sales of non-core assets, joint venture arrangements for its projects, debt offerings and equity financing.

The Company continuously reviews operational results, expenditures and additional financial opportunities in order to ensure adequate liquidity and flexibility to support its growth strategy while maintaining or increasing production levels at its current operations.

1.7 CONTRACTUAL COMMITMENTS AND CONTINGENCIES

Five year 5.875% debt financing

In May, 2014, the Company borrowed \$60 million in a five-year loan at an interest rate of 5.875% from Gold Exchangeable Limited ("GEL"), an unaffiliated special purpose vehicle incorporated in Jersey.

GEL raised the financing proceeds by way of a concurrent offering of five-year senior exchangeable bonds (the "Bonds") issued by Gold Exchangeable Limited (the "Issuer"), an unaffiliated special purpose vehicle incorporated in Jersey. The Company, through its wholly owned subsidiary Mandalay Resources Finance Limited (the "Borrower"), borrowed the proceeds of the Bond offering from the Issuer under the terms of a loan agreement and related funding agreement (the "Loan") which together mirror the principal terms of the Bonds.

Beginning on June 23, 2014, each Bondholder has the right to exchange the principal amount of its Bonds for shares in the SPDR Gold Trust ("Gold Shares") based on the then applicable exchange price. The initial exchange price is \$149.99 per Gold Share, which, at the initial issuance date of the Bonds, was equivalent to a gold price of \$1,556 per ounce.

The exchange price is subject to adjustment in the event of changes to the constitution of the SPDR Gold Trust (e.g., share splits and consolidation) or changes to the way in which NAV of the SPDR Gold Trust or Gold Shares is calculated.

If a Bondholder exercises its exchange rights, GEL will give notice to the Borrower, and the Borrower will be required to deliver the requisite number of Gold Shares to the Bondholder.

As security for its obligations under the Bonds, GEL will be required to deposit into a custody account, in quarterly instalments over the fourth and fifth years of the term of the Bonds, the aggregate number of Gold Shares issuable upon exchange of the Bonds. Pursuant to the terms of the Loan, the Borrower will have to provide such Gold Shares to GEL.

The performance of the Borrower's obligations under the Loan is guaranteed by the Company. This guarantee is secured by first ranking pledge of all of the shares of Mandalay Resources Australia Pty Limited, which owns and operates Costerfield.

GEL may redeem the Bonds at its option:

- any time after June 13, 2017, if the closing price of the Gold Shares exceeds 130% of the exchange price for at least 20 trading days in any 30 consecutive trading day period; or
- any time, if \$9 million or less principal amount of the Bonds remains outstanding.

The Borrower has equivalent redemption rights with respect to the Loan. If the Borrower exercises its redemption rights under the Loan, the Issuer will exercise its optional redemption rights under the Bonds.

The maturity date of the Loan is May 13, 2019. However, because the Bondholders have the right to exercise their exchange rights any time after June 23, 2014 (which would trigger a requirement for GEL to exercise its parallel exchange rights under the Loan), the Company has classified the Loan balance as a current liability. IFRS requires that the Company ignore the probability that holders will elect to convert in the next 12 months, even if the Company assesses such probability as remote. However, if holders did elect to convert the debt as of March 31, 2015, the Company would realize a gain of \$8.2 million and the holders of the debt would realize a loss of \$14.2 million based on the principal value of \$60 million.

A summary of the Loan balance as at March 31, 2015 is as follows:

	\$'000
Principal issued	60,000
Fund raising expenses	(2,992)
Fair value allocated to derivative	(4,634)
Debt as at May 13, 2014	52,374
Accretion	1,111
Interest payable	460
Debt balance as at March 31, 2015	53,945

For clarity, Company has provided some examples below to further explain the details of the exchangeable bond agreement, all of which exclude the interest that is payable on the principal until the date of redemption or maturity at the rate of 5.875%:

- i) If all the bondholders were to exercise their right to redeem any time before the maturity date and assuming March 31, 2015, at a gold price of \$1,187/oz (which is equivalent to US\$113.66 per Gold Share), then the repayment cost to the Company will be approximately \$42.7 million.

$$\$60 \text{ million} \div \$1,556/\text{oz} \times \$1,187 = \$45.8 \text{ million}$$

- ii) The repayment cost to the Company to repay the loan on maturity will be minimum \$60 million.

- iii) If the average price of gold during the escrow period is \$1,700/oz (which is equivalent to US\$ 162.78 per Gold Share) then repayment cost to the Company will be \$65.6 million.

$$\$60 \text{ million} \div \$1,556/\text{oz} \times \$1,700 = \$65.6 \text{ million}$$

1.8 OFF-BALANCE SHEET ARRANGEMENTS

The Company has no off-balance sheet arrangements.

1.9 TRANSACTIONS WITH RELATED PARTIES

The Company had no related party transactions.

1.10 CRITICAL ACCOUNTING POLICIES

The preparation of consolidated financial statements in conformity with IFRS requires management to make estimates and assumptions that affect the reported amounts of assets and liabilities and disclosure of contingent assets and liabilities at the date of the financial statements. Significant estimates used in the preparation of the consolidated financial statements include, but are not limited to, the recoverability of trade and other receivables, measurement of revenue and trade receivables, the proven and probable ore reserves and resources of mining properties and the related depletion and amortization amounts, the estimated tonnes of waste material to be mined and the estimated recoverable tonnes of ore from each mine area, the assumptions used in the accounting for stock-based compensation, valuation of warrants, the provision for income and mining taxes and composition of future income and mining tax assets and liabilities, the expected economic lives of and the estimated future operating results and net cash flows from mining interests, the anticipated costs of reclamation and other closure cost obligations, the fair value measurement of derivative financial instruments and silver note, and the fair value of assets and liabilities acquired in business combinations.

Revenue recognition

Revenue is measured at the fair value of the consideration received or receivable. Revenue from the sale of metals is recognized when all of the following conditions are satisfied:

- the significant risks and rewards of ownership have been transferred to the purchaser;

- the Company does not retain continuing managerial involvement to the degree usually associated with ownership or effective control over the metals sold;
- the amount of revenue can be measured reliably;
- it is probable that the economic benefits associated with the transaction will flow to the Company; and
- the costs incurred or to be incurred in respect of the sale can be measured reliably.

Sales of certain commodities are provisionally priced such that the price is not settled until a pre-determined future date based on the market price at that time. Revenue on these sales is initially recognized (when the above criteria are met) at the current market price. Provisionally priced sales are marked to market at each reporting date using the forward price for the period equivalent to that outlined in the contract. This mark-to-market adjustment is recognized in revenue.

Inventories

Finished goods, work-in-process and stockpiled ore are valued at the lower of average production cost or net realizable value. Production costs include the cost of raw materials, direct labor, mine-site overhead expenses and depreciation and depletion of mining interests. Net realizable value is calculated as the estimated price at the time of sale based on prevailing and long-term metal prices less estimated future production costs to convert the inventories into saleable form and the costs necessary to make the sale.

In-process inventory represents materials that are currently in the process of being converted into finished goods. The average production cost of finished goods represents the average cost of in-process inventories incurred prior to the refining process, plus applicable refining costs and associated royalties.

Supplies are valued at the lower of average cost and net realizable value.

The estimates and assumptions used in the measurement of work-in-process inventories include saleable ounces of gold, silver and antimony and the gold, silver and antimony prices to be realized when the metal is sold. If these estimates or assumptions prove to be inaccurate, the Company could be required to write down the carrying amounts of its work-in-process inventories, which would reduce the Company's earnings and working capital.

Property, plant and equipment

Exploration and Evaluation

Once a license to explore an area has been secured, expenditures on exploration and evaluation activities are capitalized within property, plant and equipment.

The Company records its capitalized exploration and evaluation at cost. The capitalized cost is based on cash and the value of share considerations paid for the property and exploration costs incurred on the property. The recorded amount does not reflect recoverable values as this will be dependent on the results of exploration and development program, the nature of the mineral deposit, commodity prices, adequate funding and the ability of the Company to bring its projects into production.

All costs related to the acquisition, exploration and evaluation of these interests are capitalized on the basis of specific claim blocks or areas of geological interest until the properties to which they relate are moved into development or production, sold, or management has determined there to be an impairment of the value.

Management reviews the carrying value of capitalized exploration and evaluation costs at least annually. In the case of undeveloped projects, there may be only Inferred Resources or perhaps just pre-resource exploration data to form a basis for the impairment review. The review is based on a status report regarding the Company's intentions for development of the undeveloped property. In some cases, the undeveloped properties are regarded as successors to ore bodies currently in production. Where this is the case, it is intended that these will be developed and be put into production when the current source of ore is exhausted or to replace the reduced output.

Once an economically viable reserve has been determined for an area and the decision to proceed with development has been approved, exploration and evaluation assets attributable to that area are first tested for impairment and then reclassified to mining interests within property, plant and equipment.

Subsequent recovery of the resulting carrying value depends on successful development or sale of the undeveloped project. If a project does not prove viable, all unrecoverable costs associated with the project are written off.

Mining Interests

Mining interests represent capitalized expenditures related to the development of mining properties, acquisition costs, capitalized borrowing costs, expenditures related to exploration and evaluation transferred in and estimated site closure and reclamation costs.

Capitalized costs are depleted using the unit-of-production method over the estimated economic life of the mine to which they relate.

Plant and equipment

Plant and equipment are recorded at cost less accumulated depreciation and impairment charges.

Where an item of plant and equipment comprises major components with different useful lives, the components are accounted for as separate items of plant and equipment.

Expenditures incurred to replace a component of an item of plant and equipment that is accounted for separately, including major inspection and overhaul expenditures, are capitalized. Directly attributable expenses incurred for major capital projects and site preparation are capitalized until the asset is brought to a working condition for its intended use. These costs include dismantling and site restoration costs to the extent these are recognized as a provision.

Depreciation

Mining interests are depreciated using the unit-of-production method based on the estimated total recoverable metal contained in proven and probable reserves or proven, probable and measured and indicated resources, depending on the nature of the ore body, at the related mine when the production level intended by management has been reached.

The production level intended by management is considered to be reached when operational commissioning of major mine and plant components is completed, operating results are being achieved consistently for a period of time and there are indicators that these operating results will be sustained. Other factors include one or more of the following:

- a significant utilization rate of plant capacity has been achieved;
- a significant portion of available funding is directed towards operating activities;
- a pre-determined, reasonable period of time of stable operation has passed; and
- a development project significant to the primary business objective of the Company has been completed and significant milestones have been achieved.

Management reviews the estimated useful lives, residual values and depreciation methods of the Company's property, plant and equipment at the end of each reporting period and when events and circumstances indicate that such a review should be made. Changes to estimated useful lives, residual values or depreciation methods resulting from such review are accounted for prospectively.

Plant and equipment cost is depreciated using the straight-line method or diminishing-balance method over their estimated useful lives if their lives are shorter than the mine life; otherwise they are depreciated on the unit-of-production basis.

Site closure and reclamation cost obligations

The Company records a liability based on the best estimate of costs for site closure and reclamation activities that the Company is legally or constructively required to remediate. The liability is recognized at the time environmental disturbance occurs and the resulting costs are capitalized to the corresponding asset. The provision for site closure and reclamation liabilities is estimated using expected cash flows based on engineering and environmental reports prepared by third party industry specialists and discounted at a pre-tax rate specific to the liability. The capitalized amount is amortized on the same basis as the related asset. The liability is adjusted for the accretion of the discounted obligation and any changes in the amount or timing of the underlying future cash flows. Significant judgments and estimates are involved in forming expectations of the amounts and timing of future closure and reclamation cash flows.

Changes in site closure and reclamation estimates are accounted for as a change in the corresponding capitalized cost.

Costs of rehabilitation projects for which a provision has been recorded are recorded directly against the provision as incurred, most of which are incurred at the end of the life of mine.

Reserve estimates

The Company estimates its ore reserves and mineral resources based on information compiled by Qualified Persons as defined in accordance with Canadian Securities Administrators National Instrument 43-101 - Standards for Disclosure of Mineral Projects (“NI 43-101”). Reserves are used in the calculation of depreciation and amortization, impairment assessment, assessment of life of mine stripping ratios and for forecasting the timing of payment of mine closure, reclamation and rehabilitation costs.

There are numerous uncertainties inherent in estimating ore reserves, and assumptions that are valid at the time of estimation may change significantly when new information becomes available. Changes in the forecast prices of commodities, exchange rates, production costs or recovery rates may change the economic status of reserves and may, ultimately, result in the reserves being restated.

Income taxes

The Company uses the liability method of accounting for income taxes. Deferred tax is recognized on temporary differences between the carrying amounts of assets and liabilities in the financial statements and the corresponding tax bases used in the computation of taxable income and on the carry forward of tax losses and tax credit. Deferred tax liabilities are generally recognized for all taxable temporary differences. Deferred tax assets are generally recognized for all deductible temporary differences to the extent that it is probable that taxable profits will be available against which those deductible temporary differences can be utilized. Such deferred tax assets and liabilities are not recognized if the temporary difference arises from goodwill or from the initial recognition (other than in a business combination) of other assets and liabilities in a transaction that affects neither the taxable profit nor the accounting profit.

For subsidiaries, the Company recognizes a deferred tax asset for deductible temporary differences arising from investments in subsidiaries only to the extent that it is probable that the temporary difference will reverse in the foreseeable future and taxable profit will be available against which the temporary difference can be utilized. The Company recognizes a deferred tax liability for taxable temporary differences associated with investments in subsidiaries, except to the extent that the Company is able to control the timing of the reversal of the temporary difference and it is probable that the temporary difference will not reverse in the foreseeable future.

The carrying amount of deferred tax assets is reviewed at the end of each reporting period and reduced to the extent that it is no longer probable that sufficient taxable profits will be available to allow all or part of the asset to be recovered.

Deferred tax assets and liabilities are measured using enacted or substantively enacted tax rates expected to apply when the asset is realized or the liability settled.

Deferred tax assets and liabilities are offset when there is a legally enforceable right to set off current tax assets against current tax liabilities and when they relate to income taxes levied by the same taxation authority and the Company intends to settle its current tax assets and liabilities on a net basis.

Current and deferred tax are recognised as an expense or income in profit or loss, except when they relate to items that are recognised outside profit or loss (whether in other comprehensive income or directly in equity), in which case the tax is also recognised outside profit or loss, or where they arise from the initial accounting for a business combination. In the case of a business combination, the tax effect is included in the accounting for the business combination.

1.11 FINANCIAL INSTRUMENTS

General

The Company's financial instruments consist of cash and cash equivalents, trade and other receivables, reclamation and other deposits, derivative financial instruments, trade and other payables. The Company also periodically uses financial instruments to protect itself against future downward fluctuations in the prices of gold, silver and antimony and against currency exchange rate fluctuations. See "Hedging Activities" below.

The Company has exposure to risks of varying degrees of significance which could affect its ability to achieve its strategic objectives for growth and shareholder returns. The Company has credit risk which is the risk of an unexpected loss if a customer or third party to a financial instrument fails to meet its contractual obligations and arises principally from the Company's accounts receivable and derivative financial instruments. The Company closely monitors extensions of credit and has not experienced significant credit losses in the past. As at March 31, 2015, the Company had no past overdue trade receivables.

The Company invests its excess cash principally in highly rated government and corporate debt securities. The Company has established guidelines related to diversification, credit ratings and maturities that maintain safety and liquidity. These guidelines are periodically reviewed by the Company's audit committee and modified to reflect changes in market conditions.

The Company is subject to interest rate risk on its cash and cash equivalents and believes that its results of operations, financial position and cash flows would not be significantly affected by a sudden change in market interest rates relative to the investment interest rates due to the short-term nature of the investments. Excess cash is invested in highly rated investment securities at fixed interest rates with varying terms to maturity but generally with maturities of three months or less from the date of purchase.

The Company reports its financial statements in U.S. dollars; however, the Company has extensive operations in the Australia, Chile and Sweden. As a consequence, the financial results of the Company's operations as reported in U.S. dollars are subject to changes in the value of the U.S. dollar relative to the Australian dollar, Chilean peso Swedish krona. The Company has at times entered into foreign exchange hedges to limit exposure to exchange rate fluctuations. The Company's management assesses the Company's strategy towards its foreign exchange rate risk as needed, depending on market conditions.

Hedging Activities

The Company's earnings and cash flows are subject to price risk due to fluctuations in the market prices of antimony, gold and silver. World antimony, gold and silver prices have historically fluctuated widely, being affected by numerous factors beyond the Company's control, including:

- the strength of the U.S. economy and the economies of other industrialized and developing nations;
- global or regional political or economic crises;
- the relative strength of the U.S. dollar and other currencies;
- expectations with respect to the rate of inflation;
- interest rates;
- purchases and sales of gold and silver by central banks and other holders;
- demand for jewelry containing gold and silver;
- industrial demand for antimony (mostly for flame retardants) versus supply, largely controlled by China; and
- Investment activity, including speculation, in gold and silver as commodities.

The Company occasionally purchases derivative financial instruments to protect itself against future downward fluctuations in the prices of gold, silver and upward fluctuations in oil prices.

1.12 OTHER MD&A REQUIREMENTS

INTERNAL CONTROLS OVER FINANCIAL REPORTING AND DISCLOSURE CONTROLS

I. Disclosure Controls and Procedures

Disclosure controls and procedures are designed to provide reasonable assurance that all relevant information is gathered and reported on a timely basis to senior management, so that appropriate decisions can be made regarding public disclosure. During the fourth quarter of 2011, the Company finished implementing Sage AccPac Enterprise Resource Planning (ERP) software to strengthen internal control and reporting. Sage AccPac implementation combined with improvements in the monthly close process provides information to the senior management for appropriate decision making.

II. Internal Controls and Financial Reporting

The Company's management, with the participation of its Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer, are responsible for establishing and maintaining adequate internal control over financial reporting. The Company evaluated the design and operational effectiveness of its internal controls over financial reporting as defined under NI 52-109 for the quarter ended March 31, 2015. The Company's controls include policies and procedures that:

- relate to the maintenance of records that, in reasonable detail, accurately and fairly reflect the transactions and dispositions of the assets of the Company;

- provide reasonable assurance that transactions are recorded as necessary to permit preparation of financial statements in accordance with IFRS, and that receipts and expenditures of the Company are being made only in accordance with authorizations of the Company’s management and directors; and
- provide reasonable assurance regarding prevention or timely detection of unauthorized acquisition, use or disposition of the Company’s assets that could have a material effect on the annual financial statements or interim financial statements.

The Company’s management, including its Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer, has evaluated the design and operational effectiveness of the Company’s internal control over financial reporting using the framework and criteria established in Internal Control – Integrated Framework, issued by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission.

With the help of Sage AccPac ERP system that the Company implemented in 2011, the Company has been implementing complete segregation of duties. It has appointed KPMG to conduct an internal audit review of the Company. This is designed to further identify the gaps in internal control procedures and help create internal policy documents as necessary.

The table below is a summary of key internal control issues, their potential impact and the actions the Company is taking to remedy:

Internal control weakness	Potential impact of the weakness on the issuer’s financial reporting and its ICFR	Current plan or actions being undertaken for remediating the potential material weakness
Segregation of duties	Accuracy and possible fraud	KPMG are the internal auditors since 2011. Management has documented and taken adequate corrective actions to address the issues identified by auditors in each financial year. During 2014, the following internal audit activities were completed: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Enterprise risk management • Life of Mine (planning, reporting and monitoring) • Rotables and repairs including offsite repairs and critical speares/stock. • Inventory. The areas proposed to be covered during 2015 internal audit would be related to the following broad categories: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Financial and management reporting. • Heath, safety and environment.
Collusion	Financial loss to the Company	

III. Limitation of Controls and Procedures

The Company’s management, including its Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer, believe that any disclosure controls and procedures or internal control over financial reporting, no matter how well

conceived and operated, can provide only reasonable, not absolute, assurance that the objectives of the control system are met. Further, the design of a control system must reflect the fact that there are resource constraints, and the benefits of controls must be considered relative to their costs. Because of the inherent limitations in all control systems, they cannot provide absolute assurance that all control issues and instances of fraud, if any, within the Company have been prevented or detected. These inherent limitations include the realities that judgments in decision-making can be faulty, and that breakdowns can occur due to simple error or mistake. Additionally, controls can be circumvented by the individual acts of some persons, by collusion of two or more people, or by unauthorized override of the controls. The design of any system of controls also is based in part upon certain assumptions about the likelihood of future events, and there can be no assurance that any design will succeed in achieving its stated goals under all potential future conditions. Accordingly, because of the inherent limitations in a cost effective control system, misstatements due to error or fraud may occur and not be detected. Also, projections of any evaluation of effectiveness to future periods are subject to the risk that controls may become inadequate because of changes in conditions, or that the degree of compliance with the policies or procedures may deteriorate.

1.13 OUTSTANDING SHARES

As of the date of this MD&A, the Company had 408,963,095 common shares issued and outstanding. The weighted average number of shares outstanding during the first quarter used for the calculation of per share results was 408,922,877.

Outstanding incentive stock options that could result in the issuance of additional common shares at the respective dates as of the date of this MD&A are as follows:

Exercise Price CND\$	As of March 31, 2015	As of May 12, 2015	Expiry Date
0.91	5,120,000	5,120,000	24 March 2020
0.93	120,000	120,000	06 November, 2019
0.98	4,305,000	4,305,000	24 March, 2019
1.13	3,942,500	3,942,500	18 March, 2018
0.83	3,662,500	3,662,500	09 March, 2017
0.70	250,000	250,000	02 December, 2016
0.76	50,000	50,000	04 July, 2016
0.58	370,000	370,000	11 April, 2016
0.56	2,992,500	2,992,500	11 March, 2016
0.33	300,000	300,000	05 October, 2015
0.26	700,000	700,000	26 August, 2015
Total	21,812,500	21,812,500	

During the quarter ended March 31, 2015, 205,000 options were exercised. There were 21,812,500 options outstanding as of March 31, 2015, which could result in issuance of shares.

During 2013, the Company adopted a Restricted Share Unit Plan (the "RSU Plan") and granted Restricted Share Units ("RSUs") to certain directors. Under the RSU Plan, those directors granted RSUs receive the Company's common shares at no cost at the end of vesting periods. Each RSU entitles the holder to one common share. The number of granted RSUs is subject to an upward adjustment based on the Company's dividend declarations during the vesting period. The number of RSUs as at March 31, 2015, is as follows:

	Number of RSU awards
Balance, December 31, 2014	211,004
Granted	302,861
Outstanding at March 31, 2015	513,865

1.14 QUALIFIED PERSONS

Disclosures of a scientific or technical nature in this MD&A in respect of each of the Company's material mineral resource properties were prepared by, or under the supervision of, the "qualified persons" (as that term is defined in NI 43-101) listed below:

Project	Qualified Person	Relationship to Mandalay Resources
Costerfield	Chris Gregory	Employee
Björkdal	Chris Gregory	Employee
Cerro Bayo	Scott Manske	Employee
La Quebrada	Ronald Luethe	Employee

1.15 FORWARD LOOKING STATEMENTS

Certain statements contained in this document constitute "forward-looking statements". Such forward-looking statements involve known and unknown risks, uncertainties and other factors which may cause the actual results, performance, or achievements of the Company to be materially different from any future results, performance, or achievements expressly stated or implied by such forward-looking statements. Such factors include, among others, the following: mining industry risks; fluctuations in the market price of mineral commodities; project development; expansion targets and operational delays; environmental risks and hazards; requirement of additional financing; health and safety; uncertainty as to calculations of mineral deposit estimates; marketability; licenses and permits; title matters; governmental regulation of the mining industry; current global financial conditions; currency risk; uninsured risks; competition; repatriation of earnings; properties without known mineral reserves; dependence upon key management personnel and executives; dependence on major customers; infrastructure; litigation; potential volatility of market price of common shares; possible conflicts of interest of directors and officers of the Company; risk of dilution; payment obligations relating to properties; instability of political and economic environments; and integration of acquisitions. Specific reference is made to the Annual Information Form for a discussion of some of the factors underlying forward-looking statements. There can be no assurance that forward-looking statements will prove to be accurate, as actual results and future events could differ materially from those anticipated in such statements. Accordingly, the reader is cautioned not to place undue reliance on forward-looking statements.

1.16 NON-IFRS MEASURES

Non-IFRS performance measures are included in this MD&A because the Company believes these are useful indicators to discuss and understand performance of the Company and its operations. These performance measures do not have a meaning within IFRS and, therefore, amounts presented may not be comparable to similar data presented by other mining companies. These non-IFRS performance

measures should not be considered in isolation as a substitute for measures of performance in accordance with IFRS.

Management uses adjusted EBITDA, income after tax from underlying operations and operating net income/(loss) after tax as measures of operating performance to assist in comparing the Company's ability to generate liquidity through operating cash flow to fund future working capital needs and fund future capital expenditures and to assist in measuring financial performance from period to period on a consistent basis. The Company believes that these measures are used by and are useful to investors and other users of the Company's financial statements in evaluating the Company's operating and cash performance because they allow for analysis of its financial results without regard to special, non-cash and other non-core items, which can vary substantially from company to company and over different periods.

The Company presents "cash cost" and "all-in sustaining costs" metrics for its gold and silver production because it believes that these measures assist investors and other users of the Company's financial statements in understanding the economics of the Company's gold and silver mining activities. Management also uses these metrics to assess Company's ability to meet short and long term financial objectives.

1. *Adjusted EBITDA*- The Company defines adjusted EBITDA as earnings before interest, taxes, non-cash charges/ (income) and finance costs. Refer to page 11 for reconciliation between adjusted EBITDA and net income.
2. *Income after tax from underlying operations*- The Company defines Income from underlying operations as net income after tax excluding non-cash, non-operating expense related to mark-to-market adjustment of currency option, financing warrants, silver and gold put options, silver note and deferred tax expense or recovery. Refer to page 13 for reconciliation between income from underlying operations and income from operations.
3. *Operating net income/(loss) after tax*- The Company defines operating net income/(loss) after tax as net income after tax before non-operating items such as intercompany interest expenses and all intercompany transfer pricing recharge costs. Refer to pages 15, 18 and 21 for reconciliation between operating net income after tax and net income after tax.
4. *Cash cost per ounce of gold equivalent produced*- Equivalent gold ounces produced is calculated by adding to gold ounces produced, the antimony tonnes produced times the average antimony price in the period divided by the average gold price in the period. The total cash operating cost associated with the production of these equivalent ounces produced in the period is then divided by the equivalent gold ounces produced to yield the cash cost per equivalent ounce produced. The cash cost excludes royalty expenses.
5. *Site all-in sustaining cost per ounce of gold equivalent produced*- Site all-in costs include total cash operating costs, royalty expense, depletion, depreciation, accretion and write-off of exploration and evaluation. Equivalent gold ounces produced is calculated by adding to gold ounces produced, the antimony tonnes produced times the average antimony price in the period divided by the average gold price in the period. The site all-in cost is then divided by the equivalent gold ounces produced to yield the site all-in cost per equivalent ounce produced.

6. *Cash cost per ounce of silver produced net of gold byproduct credit*- The cash cost per silver ounce produced net of gold byproduct credit is calculated by deducting the gold credit (which equals ounces gold produced times the realized gold price in the period) from the cash operating costs in the period and dividing the resultant number by the silver ounces produced in the period. The cash cost excludes royalty expenses.
7. *Site all-in cost per ounce of silver produced net of gold byproduct credit*- The site all-in cost per silver ounce produced net of gold byproduct credit is calculated by adding royalty expenses, depletion, depreciation, accretion and write-off of exploration and evaluation to the cash cost net of gold byproduct credit as calculated in note 6 above and dividing the resultant number by the silver ounces produced in the period.